Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/801,000	PARK, WON HYOUNG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel Kim</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 June 2005	Time: 3:00 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	·•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-3, 9, 11-14 Prior art documents discussed: Admitted prior art fig. 1 Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	ate record of the substance of The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Chy Corribles		
(EXAMPLE (Application of the Control	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's rep. agrees to incorporate the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 and the limitations of claim 11 into claim 9, as the applicant's admitted prior art teaches the base station modem and the combiner limitations, recited in claims 1 and 9. Such a prior art when combine with previously applied prior art would invalide claim 1 and 9. Hence such amendment is necessary to overcome a art rejection so as to advance prosecution. Other minor changes are also made to the other claims .